

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,723	MATSUSHITA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kara E. Geisel	2877	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kara E. Geisel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Thomas Scherer, attorney of record.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:


2, 11-12

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An examiner's amendment proposed to claim 2, and claims 11-12 were cancelled in order to put the case in condition for allowance. See Office Action.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)